

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/758,150                      | 01/15/2004          | Chee Hong Choi           | 20063/OG03-018          | 4636             |  |
| 34431                           | 431 7590 12/28/2004 |                          |                         | EXAMINER         |  |
| HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC |                     |                          | LEE, CA                 | LEE, CALVIN      |  |
| 20 N. WACK                      | ER DRIVE            |                          |                         | <del></del>      |  |
| SUITE 4220                      |                     |                          | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| CHICAGO, I                      | CHICAGO, IL 60606   |                          |                         | 2825             |  |
|                                 |                     | DATE MAIL ED. 12/29/2004 | DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | in  |
|--|--|---|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
| SUPPLEMENTAL   | 10/758,150   | CHOI, CHEE HONG   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|  | Lee, Calvin  | 2825  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed  rs will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |  |   |
| <ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/22</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>   | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro   |   |
| Disposition of Claims  |  | ·   |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideration.   |   |
| Application Papers   |  |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contract o | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicat<br>ity documents have been receive<br>ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage   |
| 2M-2h-2-4(-)   |  |   |
| Attachment(s)      Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)   |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/Mail D   |   |

Page 2 Application No: 10/758,150 Chee Hong CHOI

Docket No: 20063/OG03-018

#### OFFICE ACTION

#### **Opening Comments**

After a telephone interview with Applicant's representative, dated 12/22/04, the Examiner 1. has realized that the Examiner misaddressed claims 5-10 in the last Office Action. Therefore, this Office Action replaces the last one. Sorry for the inconvenience.

### **Drawings**

2. Figures 1a-1f are objected because they should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

## Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality:

Paragraph 0015 line 3, replace "an upper metal layer 14 and a dielectric layer 15" with --an upper metal layer 15 and a dielectric layer 14--. Correction is suggested.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim. 5.
- Kim (US 2004/0137693) discloses a method for fabricating an MIM capacitor, comprising: -depositing a dielectric layer 25 on a metal layer 23, which has been formed as a lower electrode 23a of an MIM capacitor 40 [Fig. 2A]
- -removing some part of the dielectric layer to form the MIM capacitor thereon [paragraph 0024] -depositing an MIM dielectric 29 and an upper metal layer 31 [Fig. 2B]
- -forming the MIM capacitor by patterning the MIM dielectric and the upper metal layer [Fig. 2D]

In re claims 1, 5-7, admittedly Kim is silent about a sacrificial layer. However, the Examiner notes that Applicant discloses "the sacrificial layer is used as an etch stopping layer ... silicon oxide or silicon nitride" [¶ 0014]. In Kim's, "the dielectric layer 25 is [also] used as an etching stopper (which meets claim 5)... comprises an oxide film or nitride film (which meets claim 6)" [¶ 0024]. Therefore, Kim inherently teaches or suggests a sacrificial layer 25, which is eventually removed a portion for subsequent deposition of the MIM.

Application No: 10/758,150 Page 3
Docket No: 20063/OG03-018 Chee Hong CHOI

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim, as applied to claim 1, in view of Applicant' Prior Art (APA).
- a) Kim does not disclose such process steps as:
- -forming a via hole by depositing and patterning an interlayer dielectric after forming the MIM; -depositing a barrier metal layer on the via hole;
- -filling the via hole with a metal;
- -flattening the via hole; and depositing and patterning a metal layer.

Nevertheless, such interconnect on top of an MIM capacitor is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by APA disclosing an interconnect comprising the steps of forming a via hole by depositing and patterning an interlayer dielectric 6; depositing a barrier metal layer 7; filling the hole with a metal plug 8; depositing and patterning a metal layer 9 on the flatten interconnect 8 [Figs. 1D-1F].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the MIM formation of *Kim* by utilizing an interconnect on top for the purpose of electrically connect the MIM capacitor to the outside packaging lead.

b) In re claims 8 and 10, *Kim* is silent about the materials of the dielectric layers and the MIM upper/lower electrodes. *APA* discloses the MIM dielectric layer 44 of SiN [¶ 0004]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the dielectric material of *Kim* by utilizing the claimed material because it appears as if any dielectric material including the claimed material would work equivalently to any other well-known dielectric material as long as the desired dielectric material is capable to insulate between the MIM upper and lower electrodes.

Neither Kim nor APA suggests that the upper and lower metal layers of the MIM capacitor are made of at least one of aluminum and a transition element.

Chee Hong CHOI

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the electrode material of *Kim* and *APA* by utilizing the claimed material because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the MIM art to select a known metal on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 SUPQ 416.

8. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kim* and *APA* in view of *Noguchi et al (US 2004/0152256)*.

The combination of *Kim* and *APA* suggests neither the interconnect metal being selected from the group of tungsten, copper family elements, and platinum family metals, nor the barrier metal layer being made of a high fusion point metal or nitride thereof, and wherein the barrier metal layer is configured to have one of a single layer structure and a multi-layer structure.

a) In re claim 3, *Noguchi et al* discloses an MIM capacitor [¶ 0090] followed by an interconnect [Fig. 18 and ¶ 0098] having an interconnect metal 57 of copper or copper alloy.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the metal material of *Kim* and *APA* by utilizing a copper metal for the purpose of better interconnectio

b) In re claim 4, Noguchi et al also discloses the MIM capacitor followed by the interconnect [Fig. 18 and ¶ 0098] having the interconnect metal 57 made on a barrier metal layer 56 (of the same material as the conductive barrier layer 18), i.e., high-melting point metal nitride such as WN, WSiN ... may be either a single layer film or a laminated film [¶ 0072].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the barrier material of *Kim* and *APA* by utilizing a high-melting point metal nitride for the purpose of better suppressing or preventing diffusion of copper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Calvin Lee* at (571) 272-1896, Monday to Thursday, from 6:30 to 4:00 (ET). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2825's Supervisory Patent Examiner *Matthew Smith* whose telephone number is (571) 272-1907.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0596. The central fax number is (703) 872-9306 for all communications to be entered (e.g., amendments, remarks, IDS, etc.)

December 23, 2004

calvalce